

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Summary of submission	Reasons	Recommendation
580.10	Andrew Feierabend for Meridian Energy Limited	Oppose	<p>Add a new clause (x) into Rule 22.3.7.2P1(a) Building setback sensitive land use, as follows: <u>(x) the distance necessary to ensure wind turbine noise from any authorised or lawfully established large-scale wind farm does not exceed 40 dBA measured at the sensitive land use in accordance with NZS6808:2010.</u> AND</p> <p>Amend the Proposed District Plan as necessary to address the matters raised in the submission.</p>	<p>Non-compliance with this rule triggers a discretionary activity status. The same reverse sensitivity noise issues arise for lawfully established large-scale wind farms and they equally warrant the protection of a minimum setback distance. Inclusion of a setback distance for large-scale wind farms is necessary to give effect to Objective 6.1.6 and Policy 6.1.7 addressing reverse sensitivity. The minimum setback would be specified by NZS 6808:2010</p>	<p>Reject Accept</p>
575.16	Fulton Hogan Limited		<p>Add a new rule to Section 22.2.1 - Noise, (22.2.1.4), as follows (or words to similar effect): <u>NOISE - MINERAL AND AGGREGATE EXTRACTION ACTIVITIES Any noise created by a mineral or aggregate extraction activities is permitted provided that if measured at the notional boundary of any dwelling which existed at [insert date of plan becoming operative], does not exceed: 55dBA (L10) 7am to 7pm Monday to Friday; 55dBA (L10) 7am to 6pm Saturday; 50dBA (L10) 7pm to 10pm Monday to Friday; 50dBA (L10) 7am to 6pm Sundays and Public Holidays) e. 45dBA (L10) and 70dBA (Lmax) at all other times including Public Holidays.</u></p>		<p>Reject Accept</p>

			AND Amend the Proposed District Plan to make consequential and additional amendments as necessary to give effect to the matters raised in the submission.		
FS1319.8	New Zealand Steel Holdings Limited	Support	Allow in part. As per its original submission, NZS supports specific noise limits for 'Extractive Activities' but in relation to WHN considers these should be contained in a special WHN zone.	NZS has sought specific provisions for WNH Mine in its original submission. However, NZS supports specific noise limits for mineral and aggregate extraction activities (for 'Extractive Activities') that are consistent with the noise provisions of the operative district plan.	Reject Accept
FS1292.70	McPherson Resources Limited	Support	Allow the submission point.	McPherson support the inclusion of noise provisions specifically related to extraction activities. Such a rule defines what effects are anticipated and accepted from these sorts of activities and are intended to safeguard both the industry as well as the surrounding properties.	Reject Accept
FS1377.145	Havelock Village Limited	Support	Support.	As an alternative to residential zoning, HVL seeks that land it controls be rezoned as Aggregate Extraction Zone. HVL supports amendments that provide greater clarity and flexibility for extractive industries.	Reject Accept
FS1332.30	Winstone Aggregates	Support	Support.	The submission point reflects the matters that affect the aggregate industry as a whole.	Reject Accept
419.9	Jordyn Landers for Horticulture New Zealand	Neutral/ Amend	Add a new permitted activity to Rule 22.1.2 Permitted Activities, as follows: <u>Workers' accommodation that comply with Rule 22.3.X Workers' accommodation.</u> AND Any consequential or additional amendments as a result of changes sought in the submission.	The submitter seeks a suite of provisions to provide for workers' accommodation as a permitted activity in the Rural Zone.	Reject Accept in part

FS1388.178	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury E	Oppose	Null	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.	Accept Accept in part
FS1306.7	Hynds Foundation	Support	Support.	The current Proposed Plan provisions would result in a number of activities that are anticipated within rural areas defaulting to non-complying activities. Hynds Foundation support the inclusion of activities that are compatible within a rural setting as permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary and discretionary activities. Activities such as rural tourism, rural commercial services, emergency management, and veterinary centres are generally anticipated and have functional, operational and economic benefits of siting within the Rural Zone. Refer to the Auckland Unitary Plan which	Reject Accept in part

				has further definition of these activities.	
FS1171.12	Phoebe Watson for Barker & Associates on behalf of T&G Global	Support	Allow the submission to extent consistent with this further submission.	This submission proposes the provision of workers accommodation subject to a number of conditions. This submission is supported in so far as it is consistent with T & G Global's submission also seeking to provide for workers accommodation within the Rural environment.	Reject Accept in part
419.25	Jordyn Landers for Horticulture New Zealand	Neutral/ Amend	Add a new provision to Rule 22.3 Land Use - Building, as follows: <u>Workers' accommodation is a permitted activity where it meets the following standards: (a) The relevant zone standards for yards, height, daylight protection and parking are complied with (b) Access - No additional formed accesses are to be created to any State Highway (c) Is associated with the horticultural activity (d) Comprises of a combination of communal kitchen and eating areas and sleeping and ablution facilities (e) Accommodates up to 12 workers (f) Complies with Code of Practice for Able Bodies Seasonal Workers, published by Department of Building and Housing 2008.</u> AND Any consequential or additional amendments as a result of changes sought in the submission.	The submitter seeks specific provision for workers' accommodation. The submitter seeks the insertion of a new rule to set the standards for workers' accommodation as a permitted activity, similar to those that have been adopted in the Proposed Opotiki District Plan.	Reject Accept in part
FS1171.20	Phoebe Watson for Barker & Associates on behalf of T&G Global	Support	Allow the submission to extent consistent with this further submission.	This submission is supported. This submission for the provision of workers accommodation provides an alternative to the provision for workers accommodation contained in T & G's own submission on the basis that the accommodation of workers for rural production activities should be provided for within	Reject Accept in part

				the rural area, however the reference to the Code of Practice is not a resource management matter.	
FS1076.16	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	Support	The submitter seeks specific provision for workers' accommodation.	Provide for farm workers accommodation for a range of rural production activities	Reject Accept in part
FS1308.33	The Surveying Company	Support	Null	We agree that there should be some provision made for workers' accommodation, even if this is provided for as a restricted discretionary activity. Workers accommodation can play an important part in the long term viability and expansion of legitimate rural production activities on sites under 40 hectares in size. Whilst a minor dwelling of up to 70m2 provides one option for housing farm workers, it does not adequately cater for a farm worker with a family or seasonal workers sharing communal facilities. A larger dwelling is required to cater for a farm employee and their family.	Reject Accept in part
FS1342.92	Federated Farmers	Support	Allow submission point 419.25.	FFNZ understands the intent of this submission relating to worker accommodation in the rural zone and wish to remain involved as any planning response is adopted.	Reject Accept in part
FS1388.186	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury E	Oppose	Null	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing	Accept Accept in part

				the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.	
197.12	NZ Pork	Neutral/Amend	Amend the definition for "Ancillary rural earthworks" in Chapter 13 Definitions to include the following activities: <u>burying of material infected by unwanted organisms as declared by Ministry for Primary Industries Chief Technical Officer or an emergency declared by the Minister under the Biosecurity Act 1993.</u>	A permitted activity status and the exclusion of ancillary rural earthworks from the definition of earthworks is supported but should be amended to manage biosecurity responses.	Reject Accept
FS1168.93	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Allow the submission.	The submitter seeks the amendment of the definition for "Ancillary rural earthworks" in Chapter 13 Definitions to include the following: burying of material infected by unwanted organisms as declared by Ministry for Primary Industries Chief Technical Officer or an emergency declared by the Minister under the Biosecurity Act 1993. The plan should identify this to avoid delay in responding to a biosecurity threat.	Reject Accept

FS1323.99	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	That the amendments sought are declined.	HNZPT is concerned regarding the extensive amendments proposed to this definition, as ancillary earthworks appears to be a permitted activity in Maaori Sites and Areas of Significance and could therefore result in adverse effects on Maaori sites and areas that contain archaeological sites as the activity would not be assessed.	Accept Reject
466.53	Balle Bros Group Limited	Neutral/Amend	Amend the definition for "Ancillary rural earthworks" in Chapter 13 Definitions to include the following activities: <u>burying of material infected by unwanted organisms as declared by Ministry for Primary Industries Chief Technical Officer or an emergency declared by the Minister under the Biosecurity Act 1993.</u>	A permitted activity status and the exclusion of ancillary rural earthworks from the definition of earthworks is supported but should be amended to manage biosecurity responses.	Reject Accept
FS1323.101	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	That the amendments sought are declined.	HNZPT is concerned regarding the extensive amendments proposed to this definition, as ancillary earthworks appears to be a permitted activity in Maaori Sites and Areas of Significance and could therefore result in adverse effects on Maaori sites and areas that contain archaeological sites as the activity would not be assessed.	Accept Reject